

IAIME FELLOWSHIP REVISED CASE REPORT APPLICANT ANALYSIS

Case Report #		Date:	
Applicant or Reviewer Name:		Deadline:	

If category does not apply, a minimum of two (2) points should be assigned

	Max Points	Points Assigned
I. Overall Length, Structure and Readability of Report (20 points)		
A. Length-adequate description overall (0-4)	4	
B. Structure (6 points)		
1. Report divided into labeled sections (0-2)	2	
2. Identifying information [biographical, referral source, purpose] (0-1)	1	
3. Short paragraphs-one topic per paragraph (0-1)	1	
4. Answers to critical questions clearly identifiable (0-2)	2	
C. Well written/discussion clear to non-physician reader (choose one) 1. Well written/no confusion (10) or 2. Some difficulty in following text (5) or 3. Poor or confusing (0)	10	
Total for Section I: (A +B+C) =	20	
II. Medical Content-History and Physical Examination (34 points)		
A. Purpose of exam, with disclaimer (0-1)	1	
B. Date of injury and jurisdiction [P.I., W.C., etc] (0-1)	1	
C. Examinee’s employer/job description provided (if relevant) (0-1)	1	
D. Chief complaint stated clearly (0-1)	1	
E. History of injury/event elicited from Examinee (0-2)	2	
F. Past medical and surgical history elicited (0-4)	4	
G. Discussion of prior claims (if applicable) (0-2)	2	
H. Well written/discussion clear to non-physician reader (choose one) 1. Well written/no confusion (4) or 2. Some difficulty in following text (2) or 3. Poor or confusing (0)	4	
I. Social history, INCLUDING activities of daily living, hobbies, etc, both prior to and after claim, elicited and described in depth (0-4)	4	
J. Physical exam thorough/consistent with the Guide’s Recommendations		
1. Includes age, height, weight, sex, hand dominance (0-2)	2	
2. Exam thorough & focused on area of chief complaint (0-6)	6	
3. Relevant ancillary areas also evaluated (0-2)	2	
4. Subjective versus objective “findings” differentiated (0-2)	2	
5. Use of distraction testing (if appropriate) (0-2)	2	
Total for Section II: (A-J)	34	

IAIME FELLOWSHIP REVISED CASE REPORT APPLICANT ANALYSIS

	Max Points	Points Assigned
III. Medical Content-Diagnostic Testing and Record Review (12 points)		
A. Diagnostic tests reviewed by Examiner listed and described (0-2)	2	
B. Diagnostic tests ordered by Examiner stated, with interpretations (0-2; If no tests ordered/requested by Examiner, then = 2)	2	
C. Medical records reviewed are listed and discussed		
1. Medical records listed (0-2)	2	
2. Content of records specifically described, separate from history (0-4)	4	
3. Potentially useful but unavailable records described and requested (0-2)	2	
Total for Section III (A + B +C)	12	
IV. Impressions/Conclusions (34 points)		
A. Content		
1. Diagnosis specifically stated (0-2)	2	
2. Symptom magnification or not (0-2)	2	
3. Causation of diagnoses discussed in context of claim (0-4)	4	
4. Apportionment to old injuries discussed (0-2)	2	
5. Is Examinee at MMI or not (0-2)	2	
6a. If at MMI, Impairment rating (if applicable) or prognosis given (0-2) OR 6b. If not at MMI, need for further testing or procedures defined?	2	
7. Impairment rating (if applicable) performed correctly (0-4)	4	
8. Work ability/restrictions defined as permanent or temporary? (0-2)	2	
9. Physical capacities described [based upon objective findings] (0-4)	4	
B. Conclusions fit with history, exam, testing, records (choose one pts.)	10	
1. Conclusions fit (10) or 2. Questionable fit (5) or 3. Conclusions not justified/no fit (0)		
Total for Section IV: (A1-A9) + B	34	
Total for Sections I + II + III + IV =	100	
Comments: Applicant: <i>Please place questions, suggestions, or concerns below.</i>		
Reviewer: <i>Please put constructive, concise criticism that the examinee can utilize easily.</i>		
Signature:	Print Last Name:	
Official Use Only:		